

City of San Diego

Development Services
1222 First Ave. - 3rd Floor
San Diego, CA 92101-4154
(619) 446-5210

CETVE D

TY CLERK'S OFFICE

TY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 24 PH 4: 09

Development Permit Appeal Application

| THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO WWW.sandiego.gov/development-services | | |
|--|--|--|
| See Information Bulletin 505, "Development Permits Appeal Proces | dure; for information on the s | ppeal procedure. |
| 1. Type of Appeal: Process Two Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission Process Three Decision - Appeal to Planning Commission Process Three Decision - Appeal to Board of Zoning Appeals | | |
| 2. Appellant Name Please check one Applicant Officially recogn | nized Planning Committee D " | interested Person" (Per M.C. Sec. 113.0103) |
| | card 300 710 | Code Telephone |
| Address 46 1537 Rose crans St. Ste. D. San Diego | CA 92106 | 1019-10105-321D |
| 3. Applicant Name (As shown on the Permit/Approval being appealed, |). Complete if different from app | pellant. |
| upper Voltaire, Michael Stevens | | |
| 4. Project information Permit/Approval Being Appealed & Permit/Approval No.: | Date of Decision: | City Project Manager: |
| 58800 + 50:42-3804 | 7/20/06 | Corywilkinson |
| Decision (describe the permit/approval decision): | | |
| Planning Commission approved the project | | |
| 5. Reason for Appeal Factual Error Conflict with other matters Findings Not Supported Description of Reasons for Appeal (Please relate your description to necessary.) | ice (Process Four decisions only the allowable reasons for appea | |
| vias misrepresented as a "traffic impact study." A formal traffic impact vinich to judge the impact of this project. Replacing the light at Wabaska and Voltaire with a three-way stop sign Vabaska only carries 2800. Adding more traffic to Whittier Street and Catalina Blvd. will negatively a 4,500 to 20,500 for a street with a design capacity of 10,000 ADT now. The project proposes two alternative traffic mitigation measures for Volum lane. The choice has not been made. Naither has been selected. A The parcel of land is small and is geographically constrained as it faces area, there are no easy or nearby afternatives. Density and Scale. This parcel of land is too small for 28 residential units and six business. There is an abundance of dense multi-family housing along this corrido bottleneck. Six new businesses will only have 1.17 parking spaces each for client. The surrounding area will have to absorb parking for people visiting the problem. Safety. This area is becoming so impacted that fire department, paramedic, and This area is already a serious traffic hazard zone before adding the impactal and Voltaire. | makes no sense because Vola street already at a Level of the developer's striping properties. The developer's striping properties only one city street, Voltaire. es. r. more will only add more presented. be businesses and residences. d police response times are be pact of this project. Accidents | taire carries 13,400 cars a day at that location and Service of Eand F. The ADT for this area is from posal will not solve this problem. I left turn pocket into the project or a new center e. Voltaire is a very busy connector street in this sure to the neighborhood in what already is a Parking on neighboring streets is already a major ing affected. |
| This corridor is a "Class 3 Bike Lane," the only level path to the beache | s, the width is unsafe for Bic | y cles with either new iningation. |
| 6. Appellant's Signature: I certify under penalty of perjury that the for | egoing, including all names and | addresses, is true and correct. |
| Signature Cyrothia Conger Chair, PCPB | | |
| Note: Faxed appeals are not accepted. | | <u> </u> |
| —————————————————————————————————————— | | |